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CET/22/40
East Devon Highways and Traffic Orders Committee
22 July 2022

## Pedestrian Crossing, A3052 Newton Poppleford Coroner’s Inquest

Report of the Director of Climate Change, Environment and Transport
Please note that the following recommendation is subject to consideration and determination by the Committee before taking effect.

## Recommendation: Following investigation, it is recommended that no changes be made to the existing street lighting at the 'Puffin' crossing while it remains in its current layout.

The current trial of adjusted timings is assessed by feedback from the community and used to inform any future permanent timings.

Note: Assessment of the impact of changing the puffin to a zebra crossing, requests for additional crossings and wig wag signals in the village is subject of separate report CET/22/41.

## 1. Summary

This report addresses item number 25 from the East Devon Highways and Traffic Orders Committee on $14^{\text {th }}$ February 2022, namely:
(a) that the request from the Assistant Coroner that consideration be given to allowing additional time for pedestrians to cross and to improve any existing lighting at the pedestrian crossing be noted; and
(b) that a full report be presented to the next meeting of the Committee.

## 2. Introduction

On 23 December 2020, a pedestrian was struck by a vehicle while using the 'Puffin' crossing on the A3052 Station Road, Newton Poppleford (adjacent to the Post Office). The pedestrian suffered a significant head injury and sadly passed away 3 days later.

On 20 December 2021, the Assistant Coroner for Exeter and East Devon wrote to DCC in relation to the collision. The letter explained how an inquest had been held into the death on 10 December 2021, with the conclusion being that it was caused by a Road Traffic Collision.

The Assistant Coroner explained that while it is possible that factors such as reduced visibility due to poor weather and the LED lights of a waiting vehicle may have contributed to the collision, a number of witnesses to the incident have stated that:
(a) the crossing is poorly illuminated;
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(b) there appears to be insufficient time for pedestrians to cross the road before the lights change to green, indicating to waiting vehicles that it is safe for them to drive across.

Having considered witness statements, the Assistant Coroner highlighted excerpts from Devon and Cornwall Police's Forensic Collision Report and evidence from the County Council's Traffic Signals and Streetlighting Team Manager, which stated that the signals were operating correctly at the time of the collision.

The Assistant Coroner requested that the evidence be taken into account and consideration be given to allowing additional time for pedestrians to cross and to improve any existing lighting at the crossing.

At the time of the last HATOC, officers were considering the evidence and investigating the Assistant Coroner's request in light of national guidance and specifications. Since then, a full response has been sent to the Assistant Coroner, along with a similar letter to the bereaved family.

This report will summarise the findings which have been collated from a combination of information, data and experience from DCC Officers.

## 3. History of the Crossing

A pedestrian crossing has been installed in this location since 1987 and was most recently refurbished in 2017. The new Puffin control equipment was manufactured with timings and ranges built-in as described in the Traffic Signs Regulations and General Directions 2016 (TSRGD). The Puffin timings for the crossing were compiled using guidance from LTN 2/95 The Design of Pedestrian Crossings Third impression 2005 and TAL 1/01 Puffin pedestrian crossing. The pedestrian detection equipment meets the detection specifications of TSRGD (activated for 1.6 seconds from the detection of movement above 0.5 metres per second). The crossing was inspected and tested on 14th January 2021 and the above equipment was found to be working correctly.

## 4. Timings of Crossing Phases

The timings of each phase of the crossing have been designed in accordance with the recommendations of LTN 2/95 The Design of Pedestrian Crossings (which remains consistent with the current Chapter 6 of the Traffic Signs Manual). The recommended 5 seconds of 'Green Man' time was increased to 7 seconds on $8^{\text {th }}$ June 2020 to support social distancing and promote priority for pedestrians during the Covid-19 lockdown.

Following the 'Green Man' there is a fixed 2 second 'clearance period' followed by a variable 'clearance period' of between 0 and 7 seconds. This variable period is determined by the detectors recognising a pedestrian on the crossing. As such the maximum crossing time available for pedestrians is $7+2+(0$ to 7$)$ so varies between 9 and 16 seconds.

In addition to the crossing time, drivers have a further 2 seconds of red and amber taking the wait time to 18 seconds. The risk of increasing this wait time for drivers is
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the red signal begins to lose credibility and is abused by drivers or they become frustrated and drive at inappropriate speeds.

Evidence provided to the Coroner by a number of witnesses raises concern that the lights quickly return to green just as pedestrians cross the road. This is likely due to the variable 'clearance period' described above which minimises the cycle time.

Based on the above consideration the Service has temporarily amended the clearance periods to provide more priority for pedestrians to clear the crossing. The Parish Council will be consulted following the summer to assess whether any benefits have been realised or negative driver behaviour has been observed.

The operating specification for the signals is in Appendix 1.

## 5. Streetlighting at the Crossing

With regards to the lighting at the crossing, DCC have a streetlight installed on a telegraph pole directly adjacent to the northern side of the crossing. The current LED light was installed in 2017. Modelling and calculation show that the lighting levels (both average and minimum) at the crossing itself are above those specified in British Standard BS EN 13201-2:2015 (Road Lighting - Performance Requirements). Looking at the wider approaches to the crossing, the lighting levels meet the average requirements although there are some isolated areas to the rear of the footway where the lux levels are just below the minimum requirement. However, by having the crossing lit to one class higher than the approaches should help make it more conspicuous to drivers.

Based on the above consideration the Service does not intend to change the current streetlighting arrangement.

The specification of the streetlighting can be found in Appendix 2.

## 6. Financial Considerations

The proposal will not alter existing expenditure at the crossing.

## 7. Legal and Environmental Impacts

Any changes to the surrounding lighting and/or the crossing timings would have impacts:
(a) The timings of the crossing - It is not thought that the current timings of the crossing are a barrier to pedestrian use so it is unlikely that a change would encourage additional pedestrian movements in Newton Poppleford and therefore reduce emissions. However, an increase in delays to motorists, would have a minor negative environmental impact.

From a legal perspective, it is also important to note that the current timings are in accordance with national guidance.
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(b) Streetlighting at the Crossing - Any increase in streetlighting provision would result in increased carbon emissions (energy usage, installation, maintenance etc.) and would equally require ecological assessment.

## 8. Summary

Following consideration, it is recommended that no additional time be given to allowing for pedestrians to cross and no changes be made to the existing lighting at the 'Puffin' crossing whilst it remains in its current layout. This view is based on the current equipment being consistent with that across the rest of the county and inline with national guidance.

The assessment of the impact of changing the puffin to a zebra crossing, requests for additional crossings and wig wag signals in the village is the subject of separate report CET/22/41.

Meg Booth
Director of Climate Change, Environment and Transport

## Local Government Act 1972: List of Background Papers

Contact for Enquiries: Alex Crump/Ian James
Tel No: 01392383000

Background Paper Date File Reference<br>Letter from Assistant Coroner Dec 2021 Case ref: 3806212

ac180722edh
sc/cr/Pedestrian Crossing A3052 Newton Poppleford Coroners Inquest
02190722
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Appendix 1
To CET/22/40

## Operating Specification for the Puffin Crossing

Nearside Puffin Crossing

| Name of Site | P97128 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Road Name | High Street |
| Town/City | Newton Poppleford |
| County | Devon |

TAL 5/05 - Table 2

| Period | Period | Stream 1 <br> Timings <br> (Seconds) | Stream 2 <br> Timings <br> (Seconds) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fixed Vehicle Running | 1 | 20 |  |
| VA Minimum | 1 | 7 |  |
| VA Maximum/Pre-Timed Max | 1 | 20 |  |
| Vehicle extension | 1 | 0.6 |  |
| Leaving amber | 2 | 3 |  |
| All Red (Max change) | 3 | 1 |  |
| All Red (Gap change) | 4 | 1 |  |
| Green Man | 5 | $7(5)$ |  |
| Fixed Minimum pedestrian all red | 6 | 7 |  |
| Variable Maximum pedestrian all red | 7 | 0 |  |
| Max change all red | 8 | 0 |  |
| Gap change all red | 9 | 2 |  |
| Red and Amber |  | 0 |  |
| Pedestrian Demand Delay Time |  | 1 |  |
| Registered demand extension time |  | 1 |  |
| Kerbside detector extension time |  | 1 |  |
| On Crossing extension |  |  |  |


| Number of push button inputs |  | 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of on crossing detectors | 1 |  |
| Number of vehicle detector units | 2 |  |
| Number of kerbside detector inputs | 2 |  |
| Number of SD/SA detector inputs | - |  |
| Type of speed unit (Double/Triple/Speed assessor) |  |  |
| SD/SA Loop spacing | - |  |
| DFM Time for Detector Inputs | Active | 30 <br> minutes |
| DFM Time for Push Button Units | Active | Inactive <br> minutes | Inactive | 18 hours |
| :--- |
| Switched <br> off |

Stream 1 Period 6 - Variable

$$
\left[\left(\frac{\mathrm{L}}{1.2} \stackrel{+\mathrm{Pc})-\mathrm{P} 5}{=}\right.\right.
$$

$\left[\left(\frac{7.5}{1.2}+2\right)-2 \quad\right.$ all red is:
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| Therefore P6 | $=6.25$ seconds |
| ---: | :--- |
|  | $=7 \mathbf{s}$ |

```
L=crossing length in
metres=7.5m
Pc = pedestrian comfort
time in seconds \(=2 \mathrm{~s}\)
P5 = period \(5=2\)
See Puffin Good practise
guide
```

Mode of operation: The controller is to be capable of switching between VA and PTM modes via timetable event, but is to be initially set up to operate a 20 second VA all day.

Include timetable: See above
Audible Bleepers to be switched off between 22:30 and 06:00
Note green man temp change from 5 to 7 seconds for covid social distancing 08/06/2020

## Lighting Report for the Puffin Crossing

| DATE: | 24 January 2022 | County Council |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DESIGNER: | Andy Ware |  |
| PROJECT No: | 000000 |  |
| PROJECT NAME: | Unnamed |  |

TOUCAN CROSSING HIGH STREET NEWTON POPPLEFORD 48LED 500 mA 5139 UREIS AMPERA

## Outdoor Lighting Report

PREPARED BY: Streetlighting Team
Devon County Council
Great Moor House
Bittern Road
Sowton Industrial Estate
Exeter
$\mathrm{E} \times 27 \mathrm{NL}$
Email - streetlightingteam-mailbox@devon.gov.uk
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|  | DESIGNER: And"; indre <br> PROI ECT NAME: Unחamed |  |  |  |  | Devon <br> caunty cound |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lavout Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dimensions in Metres Angles in Degrees Calculation Grids |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ID | Grid Name | X | $Y$ | X' Length | $Y$ Length | X' Spacing | $Y$ Spacing |
| 1 | Grid 1 | 30858426 | 89739.21 | 9.79 | 15.84 | 1.40 | 1.44 |

Luminaires

## Luminaire A Data

| Supplier |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Type |  <br>  |
| Lamp(s) |  |
| Lamp Flux (klm) | $2 / 3$ |
| File Name |  <br>  |
| Maintenance Factor | - ${ }^{\text {m }}$ |
| Imax70 80 90(cd/klm) | 2932, 1098 |
| No. in Project | ' |

Larout

| 10 | Type | X | $\gamma$ | Helght | Angle | THt | Cart | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oit } \\ & \text { reast } \end{aligned}$ | Taget x | Tanget <br> Y | Tanget <br> z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A | 308885 | 89752.17 | 800 | 279.0 | प00 | 0.00 | 0.50 |  |  |  |
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CET/22/41

## A3052 Newton Poppleford - Pedestrian Crossings Options Assessment Report

Report of the Director of Climate Change, Environment and Transport
Please note that the following recommendations are subject to consideration and determination by the Committee before taking effect.

Recommendation: It is recommended that:
(a) the results of the assessment of pedestrian crossing facilities along the A3052 are noted;
(b) the identified options to improve the pedestrian facilities are considered and prioritised as potential funding sources are identified; and
(c) a site visit is held with the Cabinet Member for Highway Management to consider the options presented in the report.

1. Summary

This report advises the Committee of the results of the assessment undertaken by the County Council's consultant WSP of pedestrian crossing facilities along the A3052 within the village of Newton Poppleford.

## 2. Background

The local community has campaigned for a number of years for measures to improve pedestrian safety throughout Newton Poppleford.

Specific measures that the residents would like to see introduced include:

- The provision of three controlled pedestrian crossings throughout the village.
- The introduction of a 20 mph speed limit.
- Improvements to the network of footways alongside the A3052.

The Options Assessment Report which is attached at Appendix 1 to this report includes:

- An assessment of the suitability of the current Puffin crossing at Brook Meadow.
- Investigation into potential upgrades or replacement of the other existing crossing facilities within the village as well as the feasibility of creating additional footways along the route.
- Assessment of measures to reduce traffic speeds throughout the village, including the provision of 'wig-wag' or vehicle activated lights and signs.
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## 3. Financial Considerations

Indicative costs have been included within the report, however, sources of funding have not currently been identified for the introduction of any measures.

## 4. Sustainability Considerations

The report identifies opportunities to improve the pedestrian experience in Newton Poppleford, providing greater opportunities for sustainable transport choices.
Newton Poppleford is situated on the A3052, a key strategic route in the county, and the impact on the expeditious movement of transport would be considered should any scheme be progressed.

## 5. Reasons for Reaching the Recommendation

The report provides a number of options to improve the pedestrian experience for consideration and prioritisation should funding sources be identified. A site visit to enable a more detailed consideration of the options is suggested as the next step.

## 6. Alternative Options

An alternative option would be to take no action. Due to the physical constraints within the village, opportunities to improve pedestrian facilities are limited without incurring a high level of cost.

Meg Booth
Director of Climate Change, Environment and Transport

## Electoral Division: Otter Valley

Local Government Act 1972: List of Background Papers
Contact for enquiries: Tom Vaughan
Tel No: 01392383000
Background Paper Date File Ref.

Nil
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

### 1.1. GENERAL

1.1.1. WSP has been commissioned by Devon County Council undertake an assessment of the pedestrian crossing facilities along the A3052 within the village of Newton Poppleford in East Devon.

1.1.2. This assessment took place on site during June 2022 and included a site visit between 11:00 and $13: 00$ on $21^{\text {st }}$ June 2022. The weather during the site visit was sunny and the carriageway surface was dry.

### 1.2. BACKGROUND \& SCOPE

1.2.1. Following a fatal road traffic collision in December 2020, residents have campaigned for measures to improve pedestrian safety throughout Newton Poppleford. The fatal collision occurred whilst a pedestrian was using the Puffin crossing in the centre of the village, outside the Post Office.
1.2.2. Specific measures that the residents would like to see introduced include:

B Provision of 3 no. controlled pedestrian crossings throughout the village (including possible improvements to the existing Puffin crossing).
B Introduction of 20 mph speed limit.
B Improvements to the network of footways alongside the A3052.
1.2.3. The campaign is supported by the local District and County Council Members.
1.2.4. The scope of this report covers the section of the A3052 between its junction with B3178 (Exmouth Road) in the west and Otter Reach in the east. The length of this section of the A3052 is approximately 1.2 km .
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1.2.5. The A3052 connects Exeter with the A35 near Charmouth in Dorset. It serves the coastal communities along its route, and in particular the towns of Lyme Regis, Seaton and Sidmouth.


Extent of Study Area
1.2.6. The scope of this Options Assessment Report includes:

B An assessment of the suitability of the current Puffin crossing at Brook Meadow.
B Investigation into potential upgrades or replacement of the other existing crossing facilities within the village as well as the feasibility of creating additional footways along the route.
B Assessment of measures to reduce traffic speeds throughout the village, including the provision of 'wig-wag' lights.
1.2.7. The scope of this report does not consider the residential areas along the B3178 (Exmouth Road) to the south of the village or the Burrow Lane area to the west.

### 1.3. COLLISION ANALYSIS

1.3.1. Collision data has been provided for the 10 -year period between 01/01/12 to 31/12/21 (see Appendix B). Normally when assessing collision data, a period of 5 years would be used; however, traffic flows were significantly disrupted between 2020 and 2021 due to the Covid pandemic, therefore data from the full 10-year has been used for this assessment. There have been no significant alterations to the A3052 within the study area during this period.
1.3.2. During the 10 -year review period there were a total of 13 reported personal injury-collisions that resulted in 17 casualties. A breakdown of these collisions is as follows:

Classification of the 13 collisions:
B 1 Fatal (8\%)
B 2 Serious (15\%)
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B 10 Slight (77\%)
12 collisions involved motor vehicles and 1 (serious) involved a motorcycle.
Classification of the 17 casualties:
B 1 Fatal (6\%)
B 2 Serious (12\%)
B 14 Slight (82\%)
Pedestrians accounted for 1 Fatal, 2 Serious and 3 Slight injuries. The other 11 Slight injuries were sustained by car drivers or their passengers.
1.3.3. 2 No. collisions (15\%) occurred during the hours of darkness and 11 no. (85\%) during daylight hours.
1.3.4. 3 No. collisions ( $23 \%$ ) occurred when the road surface was wet and 10 no. ( $77 \%$ ) during dry conditions. All the collisions during wet conditions occurred at the Puffin crossing in the centre of the village.
1.3.5. There were 6 collisions involving pedestrians:

B 3 No. (23\%) involved pedestrians crossing at the Puffin crossing in the centre of the village ( 1 fatal, 1 serious \& 1 slight).
B 1 No. (8\%) involved a pedestrian attempting to cross the A3052 elsewhere (near King Alfred Way, resulting in a slight injury).
is 2 No. (15\%) involved pedestrians walking along the carriageway or footway (1 slight \& 1 serious).
1.3.6. There were 7 collisions that were vehicle-only:

B 4 No. (30\%) were head on collisions.
B 2 No. (15\%) were single vehicle collisions that involved cars striking walls or buildings.
B 1 No. (8\%) was a rear end shunt.

### 1.4. TRAFFIC FLOWS \& SPEED ANALYSIS

1.4.1. Traffic flows and speed data has been obtained for 6 sites throughout village (See Appendix C). Site 1 was situated on the B3178 (Exmouth Road) to the south-west of the study area. Site 6 is a real time counter situated at the eastern end of the study area (Otter Reach) and provides continuous data. Data from this site was captured during June 2022.
1.4.2. Other locations where traffic flows and speeds have been recorded along the A3052, within the study area are between King Alfred Way and Millmoor Lane (see plan below). Data has been recorded at various periods between 1998 and 2022. Data collected in 2022 were at Site 4 (January 2022) and Site 6 (June 2022).
1.4.3. No data relating to pedestrian crossing movements has been collated.
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## Traffic Data Sites

1.4.4. The two sites with data from 2022 showed daily two-way traffic flows through the village of 11,213 and 12,312 (24-hour). Between 7am and 7pm (12-hours) two-way flows were 10,004 and 10,777. The split between eastbound and westbound was approximately equal. Two-way traffic flows recorded at Site 1 were 4,830 (24-hours).
1.4.5. During the 12 -hour period between 7 am and 7 pm the traffic flows equate to an average of approximately one vehicle every 4 seconds (in either direction).
1.4.6. Traffic speeds recorded at the various sites are as follows:

| Site | $85^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Speeds (MPH) |  |  | Mean Speed (MPH) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All | E/B | W/B | All | E/B | W/B |
| 1 | 33.1 | $30.9(\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{B})$ | $34.2(\mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{B})$ | 27.6 | $26.3(\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{B})$ | $28.7(\mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{B})$ |
| 2 | 32.9 | 34.0 | 30.7 | 27.4 | 28.6 | 26.3 |
| 3 | 34.0 | 33.6 | 34.4 | 29.6 | 29.0 | 30.2 |
| 4 | 33.3 | 33.9 | 31.6 | 28.3 | 29.6 | 26.9 |
| 5 | 33.6 | 33.8 | 33.4 | 28.5 | 29.0 | 28.0 |
| 6 | 34.2 | 34.8 | 33.6 | 30.5 | 31.2 | 29.8 |

1.4.7. Speed data shown in the table above indicate that speeds are generally constant throughout the village, with similar readings across all the sites. The $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speeds typically exceed the
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posted speed limit of 30 mph by approximately $3.6 \mathrm{mph}(12 \%)$. The mean (average) speed through the village is approximately $1.1 \mathrm{mph}(4 \%)$ below the posted speed limit.
1.4.8. Eastbound speeds are marginally greater than westbound speeds, by approximately 1.3 mph .
1.4.9. The average number of vehicles exceeding the 30 mph speed limit over a 24 -hour period are as follows (selected sites):

| Speed <br> (MPH) | Site 2 |  |  | Site 4 |  |  | Site 6 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All | E/B | W/B | All | E/B | W/B | All | E/B | W/B |
| $30-35$ | 2525 | 1718 | 806 | 3180 | 2301 | 879 | 5295 | 3012 | 2282 |
| $35-40$ | 519 | 398 | 121 | 477 | 318 | 159 | 1132 | 719 | 412 |
| $40-45$ | 98 | 78 | 19 | 77 | 40 | 37 | 215 | 132 | 83 |
| $45-50$ | 20 | 18 | 2 | 16 | 7 | 9 | 44 | 24 | 20 |
| $>50$ | 9 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 13 | 7 | 6 |
| Total | 3171 | 2220 | 949 | 3757 | 2669 | 1088 | 6699 | 3894 | 2803 |

Note: Due to the rounding up of data, some figures may not fully add up.

## 2. ASSESSMENT OF EXISTING PEDESTRIAN FACILITIES

### 2.1. OVERVIEW OF EXISTING ARRANGEMENTS

2.1.1. The section of the A3052 between its junctions with the B3178 (Exmouth Road) and Otter Reach is approximately 1.2 km long and has properties bordering both sides throughout. At its western end there is a section of approximately 100 m where the width is below 5.5 m and no centre line markings have been provided. Elsewhere, the road width typically varies from around 6 m to 8 m . The A3052 is named High Street to the west of Brook Meadow and Station Road to the east.
2.1.2. At the western end of the study area, the junction with the B3178 is a mini roundabout and at the eastern end the A3052 crosses a bridge over the River Otter. Both these features provide some means of reducing approach speeds into the village.
2.1.3. Existing pedestrian facilities and other amenities are shown in Figures 1 to 3 (Appendix A).
2.1.4. Pedestrian Crossings. There is one controlled Puffin crossing just to the west of Brook Meadow in the centre of the village. There are two other uncontrolled crossings with central refuges at either end of the study area. One of the crossings is situated towards the west of the village, approximately 60 m east of King Alfred Way, with the other just to the east of Otter Reach, at the eastern end of the village.
2.1.5. Footways. On the southern side of the carriageway there is a continuous footway from a point 40 m west of King Alfred Way to the uncontrolled crossing at Otter Reach (note: this includes a 100 m long on-street section running parallel to the High Street near Meadow Drive. On the northern side of the carriageway there is an intermittent footway (primarily in three sections) throughout, with lengthy gaps in between.
2.1.6. Bus Stops. There are three pairs of bus stops within the study area, with a further two pairs just to the west of the mini roundabout junction with the B3178. The pairs of bus stops within the study area are located at the following locations:

B East of King Alfred Way (western end)
B Between Meadow Drive and Brook Meadow (central area)
B West of Back Lane / Otter Reach (eastern end)
2.1.7. Amenities. In addition to accessing the bus stops, there are several amenities that would attract pedestrian movements, and require people to cross the carriageway. These include:

B Newton Poppleford Primary School
B Doctor's Surgery
B Church
B Village Hall
B Post Office
B Restaurants / Takeaways / Tea Rooms
B Cannon Inn Public House
B Garage / Car Showroom
B Hair Salons
B Sports Facilities / Playing Fields
B Other retail / employment
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2.1.8. Other. There are other public rights of way within the village connecting residential areas as well as longer distance routes.
2.1.9. From the observations outlined above, it is apparent that the A 3052 does create a barrier between residents and amenities on either side of the road.

### 2.2. ASSESSMENT OF PEDESTRIAN DESIRE LINES

2.2.1. No surveys have been undertaken to establish a clear understanding of pedestrian movements throughout the village. It is therefore not possible to determine the actual demand for additional measures to assist with pedestrian movements. In particular, no information is available to establish any pedestrian desire lines (locations where pedestrians currently cross the A3052) or the number of crossing movements being undertaken. Whilst it is recognised that the lack of pedestrian facilities may be supressing demand, some type of survey would be needed to verify the location of any proposed measures to be implemented.
2.2.2. Most of the amenities listed in section 2.1.7 are located within the central area of the village and can be accessed from either side of the A3052 via the Puffin crossing at Brook Meadow. Many of the amenities that are likely to attract the greatest number of pedestrian movements are located in close proximity to the Puffin crossing (school, surgery, post office, restaurant / takeaway, pub, church and car showroom). The sports pitches to the north of the A3052 can also be accessed from the southern side via the Puffin crossing, although this may not be the most direct route. The crossing is also situated close to the central pair of bus stops.
2.2.3. The Puffin crossing connects the northern residential area around Meadow Drive, Lark Rise, Chestnut Way and Brook Meadow to the amenities on the southern side of the A3052.
2.2.4. The main amenities that cannot be accessed via the Puffin crossing are the tea rooms and hair salon at the western end of the village, and the village hall, hair salon and garage at the eastern end. There are also bus stops at either end of the village that cannot be accessed via the crossing.
2.2.5. It can be seen from Figures $1 \& 3$ (Appendix A) that the Puffin crossing is likely to cater for most of the desired crossing movements in the village; however, there are other locations where alternative crossing arrangements will be needed.
2.2.6. The following sections consider the specific situation in the western, central and eastern areas of the village. The plan below shows the boundaries between each of the areas.
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### 2.3. WESTERN END OF VILLAGE

2.3.1. This includes the section of High Street between the B3178 mini roundabout and the Cannon Inn.
2.3.2. Nearly all residential properties to the south of the High Street are connected by a footway to the centre of the village. The footway is generally in excess of 1.8 m wide and in reasonable condition. There is a lack of any tactile paving and flush kerbs at the junctions of King Alfred Way and Clapper Close, which makes it less accessible to people with disabilities or visual impairments. On the northern side, residential properties are directly accessed from High Street with only a partial footway covering around half of the route. There are no footways at all on the 100 m section nearest to the mini roundabout, therefore impacting on residents further west.
2.3.3. Within this section of the village, the main amenities that would require pedestrians to cross the road are the bus stops, hair salon and tea rooms.
2.3.4. There is a sub-standard pedestrian refuge in between the bus stops, which pedestrians can use to access the bus stops and salon. It is 1.1 m wide which is significantly narrower than desirable.
2.3.5. There is no means of accessing the tea rooms without either walking in the carriageway or via the public right of way that links to Venn Ottery Road.
2.3.6. A public right of way links King Alfred Way with the mini roundabout and onwards toward Exmouth Road, which avoids the need to walk in carriageway where no footways are present.

### 2.4. CENTRAL AREA OF VILLAGE

2.4.1. This includes the section of the A3052 between the Cannon Inn and School Lane. Most of the amenities are located within this section of the village.
2.4.2. The footway on the southern side of the A 3052 is generally at least 1.8 m wide and in reasonable condition, although there is a section where pedestrians are required to walk along a quiet
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residential road parallel to High Street. There is also a section in front of the Cannon Inn where the footway is within a margin defined by road markings. There is a lack of any tactile paving and flush kerbs at the School Lane junction and also where it joins the residential road. On the northern side a 1.8 m wide footway extends along most of this section, except for short lengths at either end.
2.4.3. Overall, there is good pedestrian connectivity throughout this section, with the Puffin crossing near Brook Meadow providing a safe means of crossing the A3052.

### 2.5. EASTERN END OF VILLAGE

2.5.1. This includes the section of Station Road, west of School Lane to Otter Reach.
2.5.2. On the southern side of Station Road there is a continual footway between School Lane and Otter Reach, however it is of poor quality. It is generally narrow, with steep gradients at some locations and overgrowing vegetation at the back. There is poor visibility at the side roads with no tactile paving or flush kerbs. Some of these issues are illustrated in the photos below.


Steep gradient with narrow residual width of path


Narrow footway \& vegetation


Poor visibility to pedestrians crossing School Lane
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2.5.3. On the northern side of Station Road there is a 1.8 m wide footway linking Oak Tree Garage to the River Otter, however for most of this section there is only a small margin between the properties fronting the road with the edge of carriageway.
2.5.4. Within this section of the village the main destinations for pedestrians would be the village hall, bus stops (near Back Lane), a hair salon, the sports pitches, and a couple of businesses including the Oak Tree Garage.
2.5.5. A 1.5 m wide pedestrian refuge is situated to the east of Otter Reach. Whilst it does provide a safer place for pedestrians to cross, it is not located at an obvious desire line for pedestrians accessing the bus stops, sports pitches, or garage.
2.5.6. There is no footway in front of the village hall or hair salon, therefore pedestrians are required to cross Station Road directly opposite these premises.
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## 3. OPTIONS FOR IMPROVEMENTS

### 3.1. VILLAGE WIDE

3.1.1. From the speed data obtained, there would not appear to be a problem involving excessive speeds, with the 30 mph speed limit being generally observed throughout the village. It is understood that a reduced 20 mph speed limit has been considered for the village, although there are no immediate plans for its introduction. There are however some additional measures that could be considered to help further reduce speeds through the village.
3.1.2. Removal of Centre Line Markings. Recent trials by Transport for London have found that the removal of the central road markings has led to an overall reduction in traffic speeds. The trials were carried out on three urban sites ( 30 mph speed limit) over a length of 400 m to 650 m . The removal of the centre lines was found to create a level of uncertainty within the minds of drivers, who then adopt a more cautious approach. All sites experienced a reduction of average speeds ranging between $1 \mathrm{mph}-4 \mathrm{mph}$.
3.1.3. One concern with this approach is that there have been 4 head on collisions ( $30 \%$ of all collisions) where vehicles have strayed into the paths of oncoming traffic. There is a risk that there could be an increase in these types of collisions if the centre line markings are removed. Other factors to be taken into consideration if adopting this approach would be the forward visibility to approaching vehicles (vertical and horizontal alignment of carriageway), the location of any central pedestrian refuges / islands, and the extent over which the centre line would be removed. The impact on cyclists and on-street parking would also need to be assessed.
3.1.4. Vehicle Activated Speed Limit Warning Signs. Although speeds throughout the village are generally within the 30 mph speed limit, Vehicle Activated Signs (VAS) target those drivers that exceed 30 mph . Signs are normally located on the approaches to populated areas or a feature such as a pedestrian crossing or school; and would be similar to the one illustrated below.

3.1.5. Potential locations for Vehicle Activated Signs can be assessed from the data shown in the table in Section 1.4.9. Assuming that one sign would be provided in either direction, a sign located at eastern end of the village (near Otter Reach) would be the optimum location for westbound vehicles. The optimum location for eastbound traffic is less conclusive, however somewhere on the approach to the Puffin crossing may be preferable.
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3.1.6. Vehicle Activated Signs could supplement a part time 20 mph speed limit that is operational at school drop off and pick up times. Signs could be set to switch between two different functions throughout the school day is. During most of the day the signs can be triggered to flash [30] when vehicles exceed the 30 mph speed limit, however, the signs can also be set to flash [20] when vehicles exceed the part time 20 mph speed limit during the school peak periods. These signs can be programmed to only flash [20] during the school term, meaning that they will flash 30mph during weekends and school holiday periods.
3.1.7. $\quad 20 \mathrm{mph}$ Speed Limit. The introduction of a 20 mph speed limit throughout the village would help to reduce speeds, however further investigations and consultations would be needed. The extent of the speed limit would also require further consideration. Any proposals for a 20 mph speed limit would need to be assessed as part of the current county-wide programme, which prioritises locations against a set of defined criteria.
3.1.8. Given the status of the A3052, any reduction in speed limit would need to be achieved through signing rather than by imposing physical measures. Police support would be required to enforce the 20 mph speed limit as the road layout would be unchanged. Failure to enforce the speed limit could bring it into disrepute, as well as devalue similar schemes at other locations. Regular enforcement could impact the most on local residents, therefore it may not be fully supported by the community.
3.1.9. It is estimated that a reduction in speeds from 30 mph to 20 mph would add approximately 1 minute to journey times through the village, however this would depend on the extent of the limit introduced.
3.1.10. Improved Public Footpaths. Opportunities for enhancing the existing pedestrian footways and crossings alongside the A3052 are discussed in the following sections, however, there is a wider network of paths and rights of way that could provide a suitable alternative. These were not inspected as part of this study, although they could form part of further investigations.
3.1.11. Typical measures that could be investigated to provide a suitable alternative to walking alongside the A3052 may include:

B Localised widening of paths
B Improved surfacing
B Lighting
B Improved access controls / gateways

### 3.2. WESTERN END OF VILLAGE

3.2.1. Pedestrian Crossings. The options for improving pedestrian crossings along High Street are limited due to the available road width and the lack of continuous footways on either side. The existing pedestrian refuge is very narrow and unsuitable for pedestrians with pushchairs or using wheelchairs.
3.2.2. Whilst it may be feasible to introduce a pedestrian refuge near to the mini roundabout (on its eastern arm), this would require extensive investigations to ensure that all turning movements at the roundabout and other side roads can be accommodated. The purpose of any refuge would be to serve the bus stop to the west of the mini roundabout as well as the Southern Cross Tea Rooms. Without knowledge of the demand for such crossing movements it is difficult to assess the
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benefits of such a facility. It is probable that the cost of providing a pedestrian crossing at this location would far exceed any benefits.
3.2.3. At the western end of the village the greatest demand to cross High Street is likely to be to access the bus stop and hair salon on the northern side (near its junction with King Alfred Way). Most pedestrians wishing to make this movement are likely to have originated from King Alfred Way, or roads linked to it. It would therefore seem preferable to locate any crossing facility as close to King Alfred Way as possible. During the site visit the only person observed to cross High Street crossed close to the junction rather than walk down to the existing refuge.
3.2.4. Two options for improving crossing facilities at this location are shown in Figures $4 \& 5$ (Appendix A). These include:

B A controlled Zebra crossing (Figure 4)
B An uncontrolled pedestrian crossing with a refuge (Figure 5)
3.2.5. Both options would have an impact on the bus layby to the north-west of the crossing as well vehicles accessing Langford Mews. These would need to be assessed as part of any future design.
3.2.6. Pedestrian surveys should be undertaken to establish the demand for implementing any improvement measures at this location.
3.2.7. Footways. On the southern side of High Street there is a continuous footway from a point approximately 40 m west of King Alfred Way to Capper Close. Although generally adequate for pedestrians, the route could be improved by providing dropped kerbs and tactile paving at side road junctions.
3.2.8. On its northern side, the lack of road width prevents the footway from being extended in either direction without the introduction of a priority system or a single file operation. This would primarily be of benefit to only the residents of properties that immediately front the footway, as it is unlikely to be used by others in the village.

### 3.3. CENTRAL AREA OF VILLAGE

3.3.1. Pedestrian Crossings. The scope of this report includes an assessment of the suitability of the Puffin crossing and whether it is sited at the best location. The only viable alternative would be a Zebra crossing as some form of controlled crossing would be essential for the village.
3.3.2. A signal-controlled crossing (Puffin) is generally considered to be the preferred option for the following reasons:

B It is better for visually impaired pedestrians who are informed when it is safe to cross by audible and / or tactile devices on the push-button unit.
is Drivers are given a clear signal that they need to stop, rather than relying on them to observe pedestrians on the crossing, or about to cross. This can be more problematic in times of poor visibility, particularly if pedestrians are wearing dark clothing and are harder to see. Drivers may also be dazzled by oncoming headlights which could exacerbate the problem.

B In addition to the point above, some pedestrians may be obscured by street furniture or other obstacles, such as the wooden pole on the northern side of the crossing. High sided vehicles could also obscure visibility to pedestrians about to cross (see photo below).


Utility Pole, A-Frames and High-Sided Vehicles make it harder to see pedestrians
3.3.3. In all three collisions involving pedestrians using the Puffin crossing, drivers failed to see the pedestrian on the crossing, although it is recognised that in one of these collisions the traffic signals had changed to green. Under these circumstances it is not possible to be sure that the collisions would have been prevented had a Zebra crossing been in operation instead of the Puffin crossing.
3.3.4. The crossing is located on a clear desire line for pedestrians crossing the A3052. It is situated near the brow of a hill, which is not ideal due to the reduced forward visibility, however the signal heads are clearly visible from an adequate distance in advance of the crossing. It is noted that the vertical alignment was a contributing factor to the fatal collision, due to the driver of the vehicle that struck the pedestrian being dazzled by the headlights of an oncoming vehicle waiting at the crossing. This had the effect of negating the dipped nature of the headlights.
3.3.5. Although there may be some merit in moving the crossing slightly further to the west, the options for doing so are limited due to vehicular accesses. Given that the crossing is on a desire line, and it is in the best position for accessing the school and doctor's surgery, any relocation would have to be restricted to a few metres. The cost of doing so is likely to be disproportionate to any safety benefits gained.
3.3.6. Footways. The central section of the village has reasonable pedestrian access along both sides of the A3052. On the southern side there is a continuous route from Capper Close to School Lane, although pedestrians are required to walk on a marked margin in front of the Cannon Inn public House (see photo below). This could be upgraded to a kerbed footway, although it is unclear whether the space is used for deliveries to the pub. The on-road section parallel to the A3052 is suitable for pedestrians and therefore no additional works are recommended.
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Margin for pedestrians in front of the Cannon Inn
3.3.7. On its northern side, the road width prevents the footway from being extended westwards towards Capper Close without the introduction of a priority system or a single file operation. This would primarily be of benefit to only the residents of the properties that would be served by the footway, as it is unlikely to be used by others in the village.
3.3.8. Options for extending the northern footway to the east of Brook Meadow are discussed in Section 3.4.

### 3.4. EASTERN END OF VILLAGE

3.4.1. Pedestrian Crossings. The options for improving pedestrian crossings along Station Road are limited due to the available road width and the lack of continuous footways on either side. The existing pedestrian refuge to the east of Otter Reach is adequately sized although possibly not on the optimum desire line for pedestrians.
3.4.2. At the eastern end of the village there are several amenities that could create a demand to cross Station Road, notably the bus stops, village hall, playing fields and hair salon that lie on the northern side. The origins of pedestrians crossing this section is harder to define, therefore it is difficult to estimate where desire lines may occur. With limited footways on the northern side of Station Road, opportunities to introduce any additional crossings are restricted to the area around Back Lane. Given the location of the bus stops, garage and playing fields in relation to properties in Millmoor Lane \& Otter Reach, it may be preferable to locate any crossing facility to the west of Back Lane.
3.4.3. Two options for improving crossing facilities at this location are shown in Figures 6 \& 7 (Appendix A). These include:

B A controlled Zebra crossing (Figure 6)
B An uncontrolled pedestrian crossing with a refuge (Figure 7)
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3.4.4. The refuge option would have an impact on the adjacent bus stops as well vehicles accessing Back Lane. These would need to be assessed as part of any future design.
3.4.5. Pedestrian surveys should be undertaken to establish the demand for implementing any improvement measures at this location.
3.4.6. The main amenity not served by a crossing at this location would be the village hall. There is insufficient road width to install a pedestrian refuge at this location and the demand to cross is unlikely to justify a zebra crossing. It is not known whether there is an alternative rear access to the hall, or whether one could be created from the path to the south of the playing fields.
3.4.7. Footways. On the southern side of Station Road there is a continuous footway from School Lane to the east of Otter Reach. It is poor quality, particularly in sections around junctions. The costs associated with any widening or other improvements over its full length are likely to be prohibitively expensive, therefore any improvements should be targeted to give maximum benefit. This would require a significant level of further investigation therefore no specific measures have been included in this report.
3.4.8. On its northern side, the lack of road width prevents a continuous footway from being provided from Brook Meadow to Back Lane without the introduction of a priority system or a single file operation. Although it may be feasible to extend the footway from Brook Meadow eastwards for $60 \mathrm{~m}-70 \mathrm{~m}$ without acquiring land, this could result in the loss of parking area on the southern side. It would not extend as far as the village hall and therefore be of little overall benefit.

### 3.5. COSTS

3.5.1. Indicative costs for implementing measures described above are as follows:

|  | Cost |
| :--- | :---: |
| Village Wide |  |
| Removal of Centre Line Markings | N/A (part of wider scheme) |
| Vehicle Activated Speed Signs | $£ 10-15,000$ |
| 20 mph Speed Limit | $£ 10-15,000$ |
| Upgrade Public Right of Ways | TBC |
| Western End of Village | $£ 60-85,000$ |
| Zebra Crossing | $£ 45-65,000$ |
| Uncontrolled Pedestrian Crossing (Refuge) |  |
| Central Area of Village | $£ 20-30,000$ |
| Kerbed Footway in Front of the Cannon Inn |  |
| Eastern End of Village | $£ 60-85,000$ |
| Zebra Crossing | $£ 40-60,000$ |
| Uncontrolled Pedestrian Crossing (Refuge) |  |

3.5.2. The above costs are indicative and are based on previous similar schemes. They include an allowance for design and assume that all works are within the public highway; and therefore, will not require the purchase of land.
3.5.3. The figures also include an allowance for traffic management; however, it is recognised that the A3052 is a traffic sensitive route which may require additional restrictions being imposed. The cost estimates have assumed that only basic traffic management will be needed without any night working or road closures. This could significantly increase costs of any works if such measures are required.
3.5.4. It should be noted that all industry-wide costs are currently subject to high inflationary pressures and therefore the figures quoted are liable to change.

## 4. RECOMMENDED NEXT STEPS

### 4.1. SUMMARY OF OBSERVATIONS

4.1.1. It is apparent that the A 3052 does create a barrier between residents and amenities on either side of the road.
4.1.2. Due to the physical constraints within the village, opportunities to improve pedestrian facilities are limited without incurring a high level of cost. These costs are likely to be disproportionate to the level of benefits to be gained.
4.1.3. The main areas that lack pedestrian facilities are at the western and eastern ends of the village.
4.1.4. Traffic speeds are generally in accordance with the posted 30 mph speed limit through the village.

### 4.2. OPTIONS FOR FURTHER CONSIDERATION

4.2.1. Measures to reduce traffic speeds through the village would have a positive impact on road safety. The introduction of vehicle activated speed warning signs could help to achieve this, with further investigations recommended to determine the optimum locations for them.
4.2.2. The removal of carriageway centre lines could also help reduce speeds; however, further investigation would be needed to establish the suitability of such measures as well as the best location to remove them.
4.2.3. The introduction of a 20 mph speed limit would need to be assessed as part of a county-wide programme which would prioritise locations around the county.
4.2.4. Without knowledge of pedestrian desire lines and the number of pedestrians crossing the A3052, it is difficult to make any firm conclusions or recommendations to be taken forward. There may, however, be scope for introducing additional pedestrian crossing facilities at either end of the village. The location and type of crossing would need to be determined by further investigations, which should include more detailed pedestrian counts.
4.2.5. Locations for possible crossing sites would also need to be assessed against traffic turning movements to ensure that they can be adequately accommodated within the highway.
4.2.6. Any further investigations may need to include the areas to the south and west of the area covered in this report.
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## Appendix A



FIGURES
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Figure 1 Newton Poppleford Public Rights of Way \& Amenities
Figure 2 Existing Footways \& Amenities (Sheet 1 of 2)
Figure 3 Existing Footways \& Amenities (Sheet 2 of 2)
Figure 4 King Alfred Way: Option 1 - Zebra Crossing
Figure 5 King Alfred Way: Option 2 - Pedestrian Refuge
Figure 6 Back Lane: Option 1-Zebra Crossing
Figure $7 \quad$ Back Lane: Option 2 - Pedestrian Refuge
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# Appendix B 

COLLISION DATA


Total collisions : 13

Collisions between dates 01/01/2012 and 31/ 12/ 2021 - (120) months
Selected using Pre-defined Query : ; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -D_Data Requests Latest ("22_07_14_Newton Poppleford")

Notes: Ordered by collision location going from west to east

| Police Ref. <br> Severity <br> Road No. Speed <br> Location Descript <br> POLICE OFFICERS | Date Weather Grid Ref. | Time | Day | Darkness / Light | VEHICLE / CASUALTY DETAILS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Rd cond |  | Veh No / Type | Manoeuvre | Direction | Casualty Info |




| $\mathbf{2 1 1 1 3 7 1 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 / 1 2 / 2 0 2 1}$ | 1713 | hrs | Wed | Dark: street lights lit | Veh 1 | Car | Going ahead | SW - NE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Slight | Fine without high winds | Road Dry |  | Veh 2 | Car | Going ahead | NE - SW | Casualty: |  |


| 13KS2B007 | 22/08/2013 | 1030 hrs | Thu | Daylight | Veh 1 |  | Going ahead |  | - W | Casualty:Ped | Slight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Slight | Fine without high winds |  | Road Dry |  | Veh 1 |  | Going ahead |  | - W | Casualty:Dri | Slight |
| A3052 30 mph | E 308152 | N 89617 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

VEH1 WAS TRAVELLING IN THE DIRECTION OF EXETER OUT OF THE ROADWORKS, FOLLOWING IN A TRAFFIC QUEUE THE TRAFFIC STARTED FLOWING M ORE EASILY. A BUS WAS PARKED IN A BUS STOP AND A FEM ALE COO2 WAS STOOD AT THE KERB AWAITING TO CROSS, APPEARS SAW THE BUS AND STEPPED OFF THE KERB INTO THE PATH AND BONNET OF VEH1, FLIPPED LEGS, FLIPPING HER SO HER HEAD BANGED ON THE NEARSIDE WINDOW SCREEN, VEH1 HAD NO TIME TO REACT. C002 WAS OFF TO GET GCSE RESULTS.

| 211102648 | 04/10/2021 | 1745 hrs | Mon | Daylight | Veh 1 Car | Going ahead | W | - E | Casualty:Dri | Slight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Slight | Fine without high winds |  | Road Dry |  | Veh 2 Car | Going ahead | E | - W | Casualty: |  |
| A 305230 mph | E 308152 | N 89611 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HIGH STREET (A3 | 052) NEAR J | NCTION W | TH KIN | ALFRED W |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DRIVER OF VEH1 | WAS ADJUST | G WINDO | . VEH | AS CRO | TH OF VE | LIDED WITH |  |  |  |  |


| 14KS2B005 | 26/08/2014 | 1345 hrs | Tue | Daylight | Veh 1 Car | Going ahead | W - E | Casualty:Dri | Slight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Slight | Fine without high winds |  | Road Dry |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A 305230 mph | E 308309 | 89681 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SIDM OUTH - A3052 HIGH STREET, O/S JUBILEE COTTAGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| V001 WAS TRAVELLING EAST ALONG A3052 TOWARDS NEWTON POPPLESFORD WHEN IT STRUCK A LOW WALL IM M EDIATELY ADJACENT TO THE CARRIAGEWAY AND OVERTURNED, ROLLING ONCE BEFORE COM ING TO REST IN AN UPRIGHT POSITION. M INOR INJURY CAUSED TO DRIVER AND LOW WALL DEM OLISHED. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^1]Collisions between dates 01/01/2012 and 31/ 12/ 2021 - (120) months
Selected using Pre-defined Query : ; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -D_Data Requests Latest ("22_07_14_Newton Poppleford")

Notes: Ordered by collision location going from west to east


| 12KS2B006 | 18/07/2012 | 1617 hrs | Wed Daylight | Veh 1 Car | Stopping | N | - | S | Casualty:Dri | Slight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Slight | Other |  | Road Wet/Damp | Veh 2 Car | O/take on $\mathrm{n} /$ side | S | - | N | Casualty:FSP | Slight |
| A3052 30 mph | E 308455 | N 89724 |  | Veh 2 Car | O/take on $\mathrm{n} /$ side | S | - | N | Casualty:Dri | Slight |

NEWTON POPPLEFORD - A3052
V1 TRAVELLING TOW ARDS EXETER SEEN V2 DRIVING TOWARDS SIDM OUTH, PULL OUT TO OVERTAKE A PARKED SCAFFOLDING LORRY ON THEIRE N/S. V1 THOUGH V2 WOULD PULL BACK INTO HIS SIDE OF THE ROAD, SEEING HE WAS NOT DOING THIS IN SUFFICIENT TIM E V1 HAS STOPPED. V2 HAS HIT V1 HEAD ON.

| $\mathbf{1 9 8 8 2 1 1 0}$ | 29/07/2019 | 1300 hrs | Mon | Daylight | Veh 1 | Car | Going ahead | E - W | Casualty:FSP | Slight |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Slight | Fine without high winds | Road Dry | Veh 2 | Car | Wait go ahead held up | E - W | Casualty: |  |  |  |

A3052 30 mph E 308481 N 89731
HIGH STREET (A3052) - 22 M ETRES FROM JUNCTION WITH M EADOW
DRIVE
VEH1 SLOWED IN TRAFFIC, VEH2 FAILED TO NOTICE THIS AND COLLIDED WITH VEH1'S REAR.

| 201013732 | 23/12/2020 | 1649 hrs | Wed | Dark: street lights lit | Veh 1 Car | Going ahead | W | E | Casualty:Ped | Fatal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatal | Raining with high winds |  | Road Wet/Damp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A 305230 mph | 30 mph E 308579 N 89747 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HIGH STREET (A3052) - OUTSIDE POST OFFICE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PEDESTRIAN WAS | S SLOW TO | SS ROAD | D W | HIT BY VEH1 AND F | TO THE GR |  |  |  |  |  |


| 14KS2B002 | 01/05/2014 | 0913 hrs |  | Daylight | Veh $1 \mathrm{M} / \mathrm{C} \leqslant 50 \mathrm{cc}$ | Starting | E - W | y:Ped | Serious |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Serious | Raining without high winds Road Wet/Damp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A3052 30 | E 308581 N 89749 | N 89749 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NEWTON POPPLEFORD - A3052 HIGH STREET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VEH1 RIDING ON HIGH STREET TOW ARDS BICTON COLLEGE FROM SEATON ON A3052. VEH1 HAS COME TO BROW OF HILL WHERE A CROSSING IS IN PLACE. VEH1 WAITING FOR ANOTHER LORRY TO TURN INTO A SIDE ROAD JUST PRIOR TO THE CROSSING. VEH1 THEN GONE AHEAD FAILING TO REALISE THAT CROSSINGLIGHTS WERE STILL ON RED, CAS1 HAS CROSSED ROAD TO PAVEM ENT BUT M OM ENTARILY WENT BACK ONTO THE ROAD TO WAIT FOR HER M OTHER. VEH1 HAS GONE OVER CROSSING AND SIDE M IRROR HAS CAUGHT THE UM BRELLA OF CAS1 PULLING HER TO THE FLOOR. <br> VEH1 HAS STOPPED ON SEEING CAS1 FALL OVER. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 16KS2B001 | 01/03/2016 | 0835 hrs | Tue | Daylight | Veh 1 Car | Turning left | SE - NW | Casualty:Ped | Slight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Slight | Raining without high winds Road Wet/Damp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A3052 30 | E 308587 | N 89748 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SIDM OUTH - A3052 HIGH STREET, NEWTON POPPLEFORD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VEH1 LEAVI THAT THE P | DE ROAD TO TRIAN CROS | OIN M AIN NG LIGHTS | ARRI <br> AD T | WAY - D NED RED | 1 HAAS LO READY EN | HT AS HE H CROSSING | THE CO S COLLID | ER BUT FA <br> WITH CA | TO SEE |



This information is provided by Devon \& Cornwall Police. It includes collisions recorpaddqePdyhat occurred on a highway, involved one or more vehicles and human death or personal injury. It only includes collisions that were notified to the Police within 30 daysof occurrence. While every reasonable effort is made to ensure that the information provided is correct, no guarantees for the accuracy of information are made.

Collisions between dates 01/01/2012 and 31/12/2021-(120) months
Selected using Pre-defined Query : ; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -D_Data Requests Latest ("22_07_14_Newton Poppleford")

Notes: Ordered by collision location going from west to east


Agenda Item 7

# Appendix C 

## TRAFFIC DATA



## Speed Bins Report REALTIM E 000000000039 2022-06-08 to 2022-06-15

Site Name
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Site ID } & 000000000039 \\ \text { Grid } & 308967089790 \\ \text { Description } & \text { Newton Poppleford....A3052, East of. }\end{array}$
Setup $\quad 39$

| Lanes | Each Lane |
| :--- | :--- |
| Show | Average |
| Time Period | 1 hour |
| Class | Any |


| Averaged over | All days |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed units | mph |
| Exclude data: | None |


| All directions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average Flow | $<.0 \mathrm{mph}$ | 5.0-10.0mph | 10.0-15.0mph | 15.0-20.0mph | 20.0-25.0mph | 25.0-30.0mph | 30.0-35.0mph | 35.0-40.0mph | 40.0-45.0mph | 45.0-50.0mph | >50.0mph | Invalid Reading | $85^{\text {th }}$ | Mean Speed | Std Dev |
| 00:00:00 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 11 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 41.7 | 34.8 | 6.7 |
| 01:00:00 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 42.9 | 35.6 | 6.8 |
| 02:00:00 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 42.9 | 35.7 | 6.7 |
| 03:00:00 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 43.5 | 36.1 | 6.9 |
| 04:00:00 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 42.9 | 36.3 | 7 |
| 05:00:00 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 26 | 24 | 16 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 42.9 | 36.7 | 5.8 |
| 06:00:00 | 252 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 49 | 104 | 68 | 20 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 39.2 | 33.9 | 4.9 |
| 07:00:00 | 680 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 31 | 205 | 320 | 91 | 22 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 31.5 | 4.4 |
| 08:00:00 | 926 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 53 | 365 | 406 | 76 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 34.2 | 30.4 | 4.1 |
| 09:00:00 | 897 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 13 | 55 | 395 | 364 | 53 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 33.6 | 29.8 | 4.2 |
| 10:00:00 | 1018 | 1 | 5 | 11 | 17 | 78 | 441 | 408 | 53 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 32.9 | 29.4 | 4.4 |
| 11:00:00 | 1016 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 14 | 69 | 420 | 435 | 68 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33.6 | 30 | 4 |
| 12:00:00 | 917 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 15 | 63 | 377 | 381 | 62 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 33.6 | 29.8 | 4.5 |
| 13:00:00 | 841 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 18 | 61 | 342 | 349 | 56 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 33.6 | 29.8 | 4.3 |
| 14:00:00 | 920 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 19 | 56 | 377 | 392 | 58 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 33.6 | 29.8 | 4.4 |
| 15:00:00 | 1006 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 22 | 78 | 396 | 427 | 60 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 33.6 | 29.6 | 4.7 |
| 16:00:00 | 1026 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 17 | 66 | 409 | 442 | 73 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 34.2 | 30 | 4.3 |
| 17:00:00 | 925 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 14 | 53 | 331 | 427 | 84 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 34.2 | 30.5 | 4.3 |
| 18:00:00 | 604 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 26 | 174 | 294 | 85 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 35.4 | 31.5 | 4.4 |
| 19:00:00 | 395 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 15 | 97 | 184 | 72 | 16 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 36.7 | 32.2 | 4.8 |
| 20:00:00 | 284 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 65 | 129 | 55 | 18 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 37.9 | 32.7 | 5.1 |
| 21:00:00 | 206 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 56 | 89 | 35 | 12 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 37.3 | 32.5 | 5.4 |
| 22:00:00 | 140 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 47 | 60 | 19 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 36.1 | 31.7 | 4.6 |
| 23:00:00 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 19 | 26 | 13 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 39.2 | 33.4 | 6.4 |
| 07-19 | 10777 | 10 | 22 | 64 | 173 | 689 | 4233 | 4642 | 819 | 108 | 12 | 4 | 1 | 34.2 | 30.1 | 4.4 |
| 06-22 | 11914 | 10 | 22 | 66 | 185 | 723 | 4500 | 5149 | 1050 | 173 | 27 | 7 | 1 | 34.2 | 30.4 | 4.5 |
| 06-24 | 12122 | 10 | 22 | 66 | 186 | 731 | 4566 | 5235 | 1082 | 184 | 30 | 10 | 1 | 34.2 | 30.4 | 4.5 |
| 00-24 | 12312 | 10 | 22 | 66 | 187 | 736 | 4590 | 5295 | 1132 | 215 | 44 | 13 | 1 | 34.2 | 30.5 | 4.6 |





| 18:00:00 | 270 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 16 | 95 | 117 | 32 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34.8 | 30.8 | 4.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19:00:00 | 186 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 54 | 82 | 31 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 36.7 | 32 | 4.6 |
| 20:00:00 | 129 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 36 | 55 | 22 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 37.3 | 32.2 | 5.2 |
| 21:00:00 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 32 | 40 | 15 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 37.9 | 32.5 | 5.4 |
| 22:00:00 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 20 | 26 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36.1 | 31.6 | 4.9 |
| 23:00:00 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 10 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 37.9 | 33.1 | 6.2 |
| 07-19 | 5348 | 5 | 12 | 42 | 101 | 433 | 2483 | 1971 | 266 | 30 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 32.9 | 29.3 | 4.3 |
| 06-22 | 5915 | 5 | 12 | 42 | 105 | 451 | 2636 | 2212 | 376 | 61 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 33.6 | 29.6 | 4.4 |
| 06-24 | 6001 | 5 | 12 | 42 | 106 | 455 | 2664 | 2248 | 386 | 66 | 13 | 4 | 0 | 33.6 | 29.6 | 4.5 |
| 00-24 | 6102 | 5 | 12 | 42 | 106 | 457 | 2675 | 2282 | 412 | 83 | 20 | 6 | 0 | 33.6 | 29.8 | 4.6 |
| am Peak | 10:00:00 | 09:00:00 | 10:00:00 | 10:00:00 | 11:00:00 | 10:00:00 | 10:00:00 | 08:00:00 | 06:00:00 | 06:00:00 | 05:00:00 | 06:00:00 |  | 03:00:00 | 03:00:00 |  |
| Peak Volume | 522 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 11 | 54 | 274 | 191 | 42 | 11 | 4 | 1 |  | 44.7 | 37.6 | 6.9 |
| pm Peak | 15:00:00 | 15:00:00 | 15:00:00 | 14:00:00 | 14:00:00 | 15:00:00 | 15:00:00 | 15:00:00 | 18:00:00 | 19:00:00 | 20:00:00 | 21:00:00 | 13:00:00 | 23:00:00 | 23:00:00 |  |
| Peak Volume | 518 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 13 | 51 | 237 | 192 | 32 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 37.9 | 33.1 | 6.2 |
| Event key: |  | failure |  | (QC) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Iffline |  |  |  |  |
| Weekends and defined holidays |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notes on data: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Averages are calculated as the simple average of values across the period. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Holidays \& Events: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Speed Bins Report_TEM PSPEED 000000002225 1998-02-18 to 1998-02-25 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site Name | A3052 2225 |
| Site ID | 000000002225 |
| Grid | 308337089697 |
| Description | NEWTON POPPLEFORD, HIGH ST...(A3052) |
|  |  |
| Setup | exter-sidmth |
| Lanes | Each Lane |
| Show | Average |
| Time Period | 1 hour |
|  |  |
| Averaged over | All days |
| Speed units | mph |
| Exclude data: | None |



| am Peak | 11:00:00 | 10:00:00 | 10:00:00 | 10:00:00 | 11:00:00 | 11:00:00 | 08:00:00 | 07:00:00 | 07:00:00 | 05:00:00 | 08:00:00 | 11:00:00 | 09:00:00 | 08:00:00 | 04:00:00 | 03:00:00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peak Volume | 700 | 3 | 4 | 22 | 91 | 364 | 225 | 55 | 11 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 44.2 | 36.3 |
| pm Peak | 17:00:00 | 16:00:00 | 16:00:00 | 16:00:00 | 17:00:00 | 17:00:00 | 13:00:00 | 19:00:00 | 16:00:00 | 12:00:00 | 14:00:00 | 16:00:00 | 16:00:00 | 17:00:00 | 23:00:00 | 23:00:00 |
| Peak Volume | 796 | 6 | 6 | 19 | 104 | 425 | 223 | 36 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 37.9 | 32.2 |
| All Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Average Flow | <10.0mph | 10.0-15.0mph | 15.0-20.0mph | 20.0-25.0mph | 25.0-30.0mph | $30.0-35.0 \mathrm{mph}$ | 35.0-40.0mph | 40.0-45.0mph | 45.0-50.0mph | 50.0-55.0mph | 55.0-60.0mph | 60.0-65.0mph | >65.0mph | $\begin{aligned} & 85^{\text {th }} \\ & \text { \%ile } \end{aligned}$ | Mean Speed |
| 00:00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09:00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10:00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11:00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13:00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14:00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15:00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16:00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17:00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18:00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20:00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21:00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22:00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23:00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07-19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06-22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06-24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 00-24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| am Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Volume pm Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Volume |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Westbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Average Flow | <10.0mph | 10.0-15.0mph | 15.0-20.0mph | 20.0-25.0mph | 25.0-30.0mph | 30.0-35.0mph | $35.0-40.0 \mathrm{mph}$ | 40.0-45.0mph | 45.0-50.0mph | 50.0-55.0mph | 55.0-60.0mph | 60.0-65.0mph | $>65.0 \mathrm{mph}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 85^{\text {th }} \\ & \% \text { ile } \end{aligned}$ | Mean Speed |
| 00:00:00 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 17 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38.8 | 33.3 |
| 01:00:00 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42.3 | 35.5 |



| Frm Exeter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average Flow | <10.0mph | 10.0-15.0mph | 15.0-20.0mph | 20.0-25.0mph | 25.0-30.0mph | 30.0-35.0mph | $35.0-40.0 \mathrm{mph}$ | 40.0-45.0mph | 45.0-50.0mph | 50.0-55.0mph | 55.0-60.0mph | 60.0-65.0mph | >65.0mph | $\begin{aligned} & 85^{\text {th }} \\ & \% \text { ile } \end{aligned}$ | Mean Speed |
| 00:00:00 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 \quad 0$ | 36.9 | 32.2 |
| 01:00:00 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 00 | 39.2 | 34 |
| 02:00:00 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 00 | 38.8 | 32.5 |
| 03:00:00 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 00 | 41.3 | 35.4 |
| 04:00:00 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 00 | 39.2 | 30.5 |
| 05:00:00 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 00 | 44.3 | 35.1 |
| 06:00:00 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 14 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 00 | 38.9 | 33 |
| 07:00:00 | 164 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 66 | 60 | 18 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $0 \quad 1$ | 35.2 | 30.8 |
| 08:00:00 | 263 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 25 | 133 | 79 | 13 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 02 | 33.9 | 29.7 |
| 09:00:00 | 256 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 32 | 126 | 68 | 12 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 02 | 33.6 | 28.9 |
| 10:00:00 | 292 | 1 | 2 | 11 | 46 | 143 | 69 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 02 | 33.2 | 28.5 |
| 11:00:00 | 342 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 52 | 179 | 83 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 13 | 33.1 | 28.6 |


|  | $\underset{\sim}{\sim} \underset{\sim}{\infty} \underset{\sim}{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  <br>  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  ল্ল゙ | $\underset{\sim}{\sim} \underset{\sim}{\sim} \underset{\sim}{\sim} \underset{\sim}{\sim}$ |  |  | － |  <br>  |
| $\sim \sim m+m m \sim H 0000$ | 아N m |  |  |  | $0000000-1+N N N N N M N M+N 000$ |
| ○00月H＋000000 | 6＾へへ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 듬 } \\ & \text { K } \\ & \text { Ò } \\ & \text { ì } \end{aligned}$ | 000000000 HनOHOHOHFOOOO |
|  | のののの |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ |  |  | 등 E in Oi in |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $m \sim \sim \sim m \rightarrow r m m \sim N \sim$ | ® |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ұ |  |  |  | ナーナー～○ |
|  | 윽 윽 윽 윽 |  |  |  | ～～ナー～の |
|  | 여N |  |  |  |  |
|  | 岛 $0_{\circ}^{\circ} \stackrel{\infty}{\circ}$ |  |  | 등 E Ni Ni N |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HrNNナNHTO000 | 엑ㄱN |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ᄃ્ㅁ } \\ & \text { © } \\ & \text { مٌ } \\ & \text { ò } \end{aligned}$ | 00000000 HनNHनNHNNHOOOO |
| HrNr＋NH00000 | 今へ今 |  |  | 등 B 0 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |



# Speed Bins Report _TEM PSCP 000000003835 2010-02-11 to 2010-02-1 

Site Name 38
Site ID
Grid 308154089618
Description Newton Poppleford....A3052, Centre of SCP

| Setup | SpeedE/W |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lanes | Each Lane |
| Show | Average |
| Time Period | 1 hour |

Averaged over All days
Speed units mph

| All directions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average Flow | < 4.0 mph | 15.0-20.0mph | 20.0-25.0mph | 25.0-30.0mph | 30.0-35.0mph | $35.0-40.0 \mathrm{mph}$ | 40.0-45.0mph | 45.0-50.0mph | 50.0-55.0mph | $\begin{aligned} & 55.0- \\ & 60.0 \mathrm{mph} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 60.0- } \\ & 65.0 \mathrm{mph} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65.0- \\ 70.0 \mathrm{mph} \end{gathered}$ | >70.0mph | $\begin{aligned} & 85^{\text {th }} \\ & \text { \%ile } \end{aligned}$ | Mean Speed | Std Dev |
| 00:00:00 | 35 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39.4 | 32.3 | 8.9 |
| 01:00:00 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39.5 | 32.8 | 8.3 |
| 02:00:00 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 32.6 | 10.4 |
| 03:00:00 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42.3 | 31.3 | 11.6 |
| 04:00:00 | 23 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42.8 | 34.7 | 9 |
| 05:00:00 | 63 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 10 | 16 | 13 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41.5 | 31.6 | 10.9 |
| 06:00:00 | 162 | 2 | 4 | 12 | 48 | 55 | 27 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 31.3 | 6.6 |
| 07:00:00 | 533 | 5 | 7 | 73 | 229 | 166 | 44 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34.2 | 29.2 | 5.1 |
| 08:00:00 | 744 | 17 | 31 | 153 | 335 | 169 | 35 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32.9 | 27.3 | 5.5 |
| 09:00:00 | 740 | 22 | 28 | 143 | 339 | 171 | 32 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32.8 | 27.2 | 5.7 |
| 10:00:00 | 836 | 31 | 48 | 179 | 385 | 167 | 24 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 26.4 | 5.8 |
| 11:00:00 | 904 | 21 | 32 | 197 | 459 | 172 | 21 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31.8 | 26.8 | 5 |
| 12:00:00 | 884 | 19 | 27 | 175 | 436 | 196 | 27 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32.4 | 27.3 | 5.1 |
| 13:00:00 | 875 | 17 | 22 | 166 | 442 | 198 | 28 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32.5 | 27.4 | 5 |
| 14:00:00 | 912 | 21 | 29 | 196 | 457 | 181 | 25 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 27 | 5.1 |
| 15:00:00 | 930 | 23 | 35 | 199 | 456 | 188 | 25 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 26.9 | 5.2 |
| 16:00:00 | 1030 | 27 | 48 | 259 | 474 | 190 | 28 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31.8 | 26.5 | 5.3 |
| 17:00:00 | 911 | 28 | 45 | 214 | 411 | 178 | 30 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32.1 | 26.6 | 5.6 |
| 18:00:00 | 571 | 15 | 22 | 117 | 242 | 136 | 32 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33.3 | 27.5 | 5.8 |
| 19:00:00 | 357 | 8 | 11 | 49 | 153 | 99 | 30 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34.2 | 28.6 | 5.9 |
| 20:00:00 | 217 | 6 | 5 | 22 | 79 | 70 | 28 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35.7 | 29.7 | 6.5 |
| 21:00:00 | 180 | 7 | 4 | 18 | 66 | 59 | 21 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 29.4 | 6.7 |
| 22:00:00 | 172 | 4 | 3 | 22 | 67 | 56 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34.5 | 29.2 | 6 |
| 23:00:00 | 87 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 32 | 27 | 12 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36.9 | 30.5 | 6.6 |
| 07-19 | 9870 | 246 | 374 | 2072 | 4665 | 2113 | 349 | 44 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32.4 | 27.1 | 5.4 |
| 06-22 | 10786 | 268 | 398 | 2172 | 5011 | 2395 | 456 | 71 | 12 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32.8 | 27.3 | 5.5 |
| 06-24 | 11045 | 274 | 402 | 2203 | 5109 | 2478 | 483 | 78 | 14 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32.8 | 27.3 | 5.6 |
| 00-24 | 11213 | 287 | 405 | 2211 | 5140 | 2525 | 519 | 98 | 20 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 32.9 | 27.4 | 5.7 |


| Jam Peak | 11:00:00 | 10:00:00 | 10:00:00 | 11:00:00 | 11:00:00 | 11:00:00 | 07:00:00 | 06:00:00 | 06:00:00 | 05:00:00 | 05:00:00 | 00:00:00 |  | 00:00:00 | 04:00:00 | 04:00:00 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peak Volume | 904 | 31 | 48 | 197 | 459 | 172 | 44 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 42.8 | 34.7 | 4015.1 |
| pm Peak | 16:00:00 | 17:00:00 | 16:00:00 | 16:00:00 | 16:00:00 | 13:00:00 | 18:00:00 | 19:00:00 | 23:00:00 | 20:00:00 | 22:00:00 | 21:00:00 |  |  | 23:00:00 | 23:00:00 |  |
| Peak Volume | 1030 | 28 | 48 | 259 | 474 | 198 | 32 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 36.9 | 30.5 | 2965.6 |
| All Northbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Average Flow | < 15.0 mph | 15.0-20.0mph | 20.0-25.0mph | 25.0-30.0mph | 30.0-35.0mph | 35.0-40.0mph | 40.0-45.0mph | 45.0-50.0mph | 50.0-55.0mph | $\begin{gathered} 55.0- \\ 60.0 \mathrm{mph} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 60.0- } \\ & 65.0 \mathrm{mph} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65.0- \\ 70.0 \mathrm{mph} \end{gathered}$ | >70.0mph | $\begin{aligned} & 85^{\text {th }} \\ & \% \text { ile } \end{aligned}$ | Mean Speed | Std Dev |
| 00:00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09:00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10:00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11:00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13:00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14:00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15:00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16:00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17:00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18:00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20:00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21:00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22:00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23:00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07-19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06-22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06-24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 00-24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| am Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Volume |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pm Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Volume |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Average Flow | <15.0mph | 15.0-20.0mph | 20.0-25.0mph | 25.0-30.0mph | 30.0-35.0mph | $35.0-40.0 \mathrm{mph}$ | 40.0-45.0mph | 45.0-50.0mph | 50.0-55.0mph | $\begin{gathered} 55.0- \\ 60.0 \mathrm{mph} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 60.0- } \\ & 65.0 \mathrm{mph} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65.0- \\ 70.0 \mathrm{mph} \end{gathered}$ | >70.0mph | $\begin{aligned} & 85^{\text {th }} \\ & \% \text { ile } \end{aligned}$ | Mean Speed | Std Dev |
| 00:00:00 | 35 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39.4 | 32.3 | 8.9 |
| 01:00:00 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39.5 | 32.8 | 8.3 |




| 22:00:00 | 73 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 18 | 32 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36.6 | 30.7 | 6.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23:00:00 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 14 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38.8 | 32.5 | 6.7 |
| 07-19 | 4964 | 116 | 174 | 701 | 2164 | 1487 | 279 | 36 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33.6 | 28.2 | 5.6 |
| 06-22 | 5405 | 126 | 189 | 728 | 2282 | 1649 | 357 | 60 | 11 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33.8 | 28.4 | 5.8 |
| 06-24 | 5514 | 130 | 191 | 734 | 2309 | 1695 | 375 | 64 | 13 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33.9 | 28.5 | 5.8 |
| 00-24 | 5602 | 134 | 193 | 736 | 2319 | 1718 | 398 | 78 | 18 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 28.6 | 6 |
| am Peak | 11:00:00 | 10:00:00 | 10:00:00 | 10:00:00 | 11:00:00 | 11:00:00 | 07:00:00 | 06:00:00 | 06:00:00 | 05:00:00 | 05:00:00 | 00:00:00 |  | 00:00:00 | 02:00:00 | 04:00:00 |  |
| Peak Volume | 470 | 14 | 23 | 77 | 222 | 126 | 30 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 45.2 | 37.1 | 3513.6 |
| pm Peak | 16:00:00 | 16:00:00 | 16:00:00 | 16:00:00 | 14:00:00 | 12:00:00 | 18:00:00 | 20:00:00 | 20:00:00 | 20:00:00 | 15:00:00 | 21:00:00 |  |  | 23:00:00 | 23:00:00 |  |
| Peak Volume | 486 | 14 | 24 | 79 | 209 | 141 | 27 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 38.8 | 32.5 | 2999.4 |

Event key:
QCfailu
Atypical (OC)

Notes on data:
Averages are calculated as the simple average of values across the period
Holidays \& Events:

## Speed Bins Report _TEM PRADAR 000000006988 2010-04-10 to 2010-04-16

| Site Name | 6988 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site ID | 000000006988 |
| Grid | 307829089333 |
| Description | Newton Poppleford....Exmouth Road Radar |


| Setup | 30mphNthSth |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lanes | Each Lane |
| Show | Average |
| Time Period | 1 hour |


| Averaged over | All days |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed units | mph |
| Exclude data: | None |



|  | am Peak | 11:00:00 | 10:00:00 | 10:00:00 | 11:00:00 | 11:00:00 | 11:00:00 | 08:00:00 | 08:00:00 | 04:00:00 | 01:00:00 |  |  |  |  | 04:00:00 | 04:00:00 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peak Volume | 434 | 3 | 20 | 112 | 195 | 86 | 21 | 7 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 42.8 | 35 | 2993.6 |
|  | pm Peak | 14:00:00 | 17:00:00 | 15:00:00 | 15:00:00 | 14:00:00 | 17:00:00 | 16:00:00 | 18:00:00 | 19:00:00 | 13:00:00 | 19:00:00 |  |  |  | 21:00:00 | 21:00:00 |  |
|  | Peak Volume | 428 | 5 | 22 | 120 | 197 | 88 | 20 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 35.9 | 29.7 | 2833.4 |
|  | Northbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Average Flow | <15.0mph | 15.0-20.0mph | 20.0-25.0mph | 25.0-30.0mph | 30.0-35.0mph | 35.0-40.0mph | 40.0-45.0mph | 45.0-50.0mph | 50.0-55.0mph | $\begin{gathered} 55.0- \\ 60.0 \mathrm{mph} \end{gathered}$ | 60.0- <br> 65.0 mph | $\begin{gathered} 65.0- \\ 70.0 \mathrm{mph} \end{gathered}$ | >70.0mph | $\begin{aligned} & 85^{\text {th }} \\ & \text { \%ile } \end{aligned}$ | Mean Speed | Std Dev |
|  | 00:00:00 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34.1 | 28.7 | 5.2 |
|  | 01:00:00 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34.2 | 29.1 | 6.6 |
|  | 02:00:00 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 31.9 | 4.3 |
|  | 03:00:00 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37.5 | 32.2 | 4.6 |
|  | 04:00:00 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40.3 | 33.7 | 5.3 |
|  | 05:00:00 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38.5 | 30.7 | 7.1 |
|  | 06:00:00 | 31 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 14 | 9 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36.2 | 30.5 | 5 |
|  | 07:00:00 | 95 | 1 | 4 | 13 | 39 | 27 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34.4 | 28.9 | 5.5 |
|  | 08:00:00 | 117 | 1 | 6 | 32 | 53 | 20 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 26.9 | 4.9 |
|  | 09:00:00 | 149 | 1 | 7 | 47 | 68 | 22 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30.7 | 26.3 | 4.4 |
|  | 10:00:00 | 158 | 2 | 11 | 53 | 72 | 18 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29.8 | 25.7 | 4.6 |
|  | 11:00:00 | 169 | 1 | 9 | 61 | 76 | 18 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29.7 | 25.8 | 4.3 |
|  | 12:00:00 | 181 | 2 | 9 | 64 | 84 | 20 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29.7 | 25.7 | 4.4 |
|  | 13:00:00 | 170 | 2 | 9 | 50 | 82 | 25 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30.6 | 26.3 | 4.6 |
|  | 14:00:00 | 206 | 3 | 12 | 73 | 94 | 23 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29.6 | 25.5 | 4.5 |
|  | 15:00:00 | 234 | 1 | 14 | 85 | 109 | 23 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29.6 | 25.6 | 4.1 |
|  | 16:00:00 | 224 | 3 | 15 | 85 | 100 | 18 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29.4 | 25.2 | 4.5 |
|  | 17:00:00 | 204 | 2 | 12 | 67 | 93 | 28 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 25.9 | 4.4 |
|  | 18:00:00 | 103 | 0 | 5 | 22 | 49 | 23 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32.4 | 27.3 | 4.7 |
|  | 19:00:00 | 75 | 0 | 3 | 20 | 32 | 16 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32.4 | 27.1 | 4.8 |
|  | 20:00:00 | 52 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 25 | 11 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32.7 | 27.8 | 4.9 |
|  | 21:00:00 | 36 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 17 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33.2 | 28.1 | 5.2 |
|  | 22:00:00 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 11 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 28.4 | 5.4 |
|  | 23:00:00 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35.1 | 29.2 | 6 |
|  | 07-19 | 2011 | 18 | 113 | 652 | 918 | 265 | 40 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30.1 | 26 | 4.6 |
|  | 06-22 | 2205 | 19 | 119 | 692 | 1008 | 307 | 51 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30.6 | 26.2 | 4.7 |
|  | 06-24 | 2250 | 20 | 120 | 702 | 1026 | 319 | 55 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30.7 | 26.2 | 4.7 |
|  | 00-24 | 2280 | 20 | 122 | 705 | 1036 | 328 | 59 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30.9 | 26.3 | 4.8 |
|  | am Peak | 11:00:00 | 10:00:00 | 10:00:00 | 11:00:00 | 11:00:00 | 07:00:00 | 07:00:00 | 07:00:00 | 07:00:00 | 01:00:00 |  |  |  |  | 04:00:00 | 04:00:00 |  |
|  | Peak Volume | 169 | 2 | 11 | 61 | 76 | 27 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 40.3 | 33.7 | 2389 |
|  | pm Peak | 15:00:00 | 14:00:00 | 16:00:00 | 15:00:00 | 15:00:00 | 17:00:00 | 18:00:00 | 21:00:00 | 18:00:00 | 20:00:00 |  |  |  |  | 23:00:00 | 23:00:00 |  |
|  | Peak Volume | 234 | 3 | 15 | 85 | 109 | 28 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 35.1 | 29.2 | 2691.7 |
|  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 55.0- \\ 60.0 \mathrm{mph} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Average Flow | <15.0mph | 15.0-20.0mph | 20.0-25.0mph | 25.0-30.0mph | 30.0-35.0mph | 35.0-40.0mph | 40.0-45.0mph | 45.0-50.0mph | 50.0-55.0mph |  | $\begin{gathered} 60.0- \\ 65.0 \mathrm{mph} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 65.0- } \\ & 70.0 \mathrm{mph} \end{aligned}$ | >70.0mph | $\begin{aligned} & 85^{\text {th }} \\ & \% \text { ile } \end{aligned}$ | Mean Speed | Std Dev |
|  | 00:00:00 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39.8 | 32.6 | 6.6 |
|  | 01:00:00 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38.2 | 31.2 | 5.6 |



None

## Speed Bins Report TEM PRADAR7 000000007451 2013-05-11 to 2013-05-17

Site Name 7451
Site ID 00000000745
Grid 308771089755
Description Newton Poppleford....Station Road Radar

| Setup | 7439 Speed |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lanes | Each Lane |
| Show | Average |
| Time Period | 1 hour |

Averaged over All days
Speed units mph

Exclude data: None





| 22:00:00 | 76 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 29 | 26 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36.4 | 30.8 | 5.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23:00:00 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 13 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38.4 | 32.5 | 6.5 |
| 07-19 | 5173 | 57 | 192 | 1062 | 2498 | 1116 | 217 | 27 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32.6 | 27.5 | 4.9 |
| 06-22 | 5721 | 59 | 200 | 1103 | 2676 | 1308 | 306 | 53 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33.1 | 27.9 | 5.2 |
| 06-24 | 5833 | 59 | 201 | 1113 | 2716 | 1347 | 322 | 58 | 13 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 33.2 | 27.9 | 5.2 |
| 00-24 | 5922 | 59 | 201 | 1115 | 2729 | 1372 | 349 | 71 | 19 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 33.4 | 28 | 5.3 |
| am Peak | 10:00:00 | 08:00:00 | 10:00:00 | 10:00:00 | 10:00:00 | 07:00:00 | 07:00:00 | 06:00:00 | 06:00:00 | 05:00:00 | 05:00:00 | 05:00:00 | 05:00:00 |  | 03:00:00 | 03:00:00 |  |
| Peak Volume | 482 | 12 | 31 | 133 | 227 | 116 | 37 | 11 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 47.2 | 39.1 | 3206.2 |
| pm Peak | 16:00:00 | 15:00:00 | 12:00:00 | 15:00:00 | 14:00:00 | 16:00:00 | 18:00:00 | 21:00:00 | 21:00:00 | 21:00:00 | 13:00:00 | 23:00:00 | 21:00:00 |  | 23:00:00 | 23:00:00 |  |
| Peak Volume | 459 | 7 | 17 | 98 | 244 | 106 | 24 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 38.4 | 32.5 | 2917.9 |


| Event key: | QC failure | Atypical (QC) | Events |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Notes on data:
Averages are calculated as the simple average of values across the period.
Holidays \& Events:

## Speed Bins Report _TEM PRADAR7 000000007989 2022-01-18 to 2022-01-24

| Site Name | 7989 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site ID | 000000007989 |
| Grid | 308686089759 |
| Description | Newton Poppleford....Station Rd o/s Haymans RADAR |


| Setup | 7989 Speed |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lanes | Each Lane |
| Show | Average |
| Time Period | 1 hour |


| Averaged over All days |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed units $\quad \mathrm{mph}$ |  |
| Exclude data: | None |



| am Peak | 11:00:00 | 08:00:00 | 08:00:00 | 08:00:00 | 11:00:00 | 11:00:00 | 06:00:00 | 06:00:00 | 05:00:00 | 00:00:00 | 01:00:00 | 01:00:00 |  | 00:00:00 | 03:00:00 | 03:00:00 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peak Volume | 878 | 30 | 51 | 170 | 467 | 228 | 28 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 43.2 | 35.9 | 2937.3 |
| pm Peak | 16:00:00 | 15:00:00 | 16:00:00 | 16:00:00 | 16:00:00 | 16:00:00 | 18:00:00 | 22:00:00 | 23:00:00 | 20:00:00 | 23:00:00 | 20:00:00 |  |  | 23:00:00 | 23:00:00 |  |
| Peak Volume | 1025 | 24 | 26 | 185 | 490 | 283 | 36 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  | 39.1 | 33.2 | 2857.4 |
| All Westbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Average Flow | <15.0mph | 15.0-20.0mph | 20.0-25.0mph | 25.0-30.0mph | 30.0-35.0mph | 35.0-40.0mph | 40.045.0 mph | $\begin{gathered} 45.0- \\ 50.0 \mathrm{mph} \end{gathered}$ | 50.055.0 mph | $\begin{gathered} 55.0- \\ 60.0 \mathrm{mph} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60.0- \\ 65.0 \mathrm{mph} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65.0- \\ 70.0 \mathrm{mph} \end{gathered}$ | >70.0mph | $\begin{aligned} & 85^{\text {th }} \\ & \% \text { ile } \end{aligned}$ | Mean Speed | Std Dev |
| 00:00:00 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39.6 | 33 | 6.9 |
| 01:00:00 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42.5 | 35 | 6.1 |
| 02:00:00 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43.6 | 35.1 | 7.7 |
| 03:00:00 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44.9 | 35.4 | 7.7 |
| 04:00:00 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42.2 | 34.2 | 7.5 |
| 05:00:00 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 15 | 12 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38.8 | 32.3 | 6.4 |
| 06:00:00 | 120 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 52 | 35 | 14 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35.2 | 30 | 5.6 |
| 07:00:00 | 319 | 14 | 16 | 77 | 147 | 52 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31.6 | 26.1 | 6 |
| 08:00:00 | 404 | 27 | 32 | 110 | 180 | 49 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29.9 | 24.8 | 6.2 |
| 09:00:00 | 368 | 4 | 15 | 83 | 201 | 57 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30.9 | 26.8 | 4.4 |
| 10:00:00 | 423 | 8 | 17 | 116 | 224 | 52 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29.9 | 26.1 | 4.6 |
| 11:00:00 | 422 | 6 | 15 | 107 | 233 | 54 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 26.4 | 4.5 |
| 12:00:00 | 413 | 2 | 12 | 95 | 230 | 67 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30.9 | 27 | 4.1 |
| 13:00:00 | 432 | 4 | 10 | 99 | 239 | 70 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 26.9 | 4.3 |
| 14:00:00 | 449 | 3 | 12 | 124 | 242 | 62 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30.1 | 26.6 | 4 |
| 15:00:00 | 475 | 21 | 18 | 120 | 245 | 65 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 25.8 | 5.4 |
| 16:00:00 | 480 | 10 | 21 | 147 | 229 | 65 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30.1 | 26 | 4.8 |
| 17:00:00 | 367 | 3 | 10 | 86 | 200 | 59 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31.1 | 27 | 4.3 |
| 18:00:00 | 206 | 0 | 3 | 39 | 102 | 50 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33.2 | 28.2 | 4.5 |
| 19:00:00 | 143 | 0 | 1 | 20 | 68 | 42 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 29.2 | 4.6 |
| 20:00:00 | 97 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 39 | 29 | 14 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36.1 | 30.4 | 5.4 |
| 21:00:00 | 62 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 26 | 18 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35.9 | 30.1 | 5.9 |
| 22:00:00 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 15 | 15 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38.1 | 31.8 | 6 |
| 23:00:00 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39.6 | 32.6 | 7.4 |
| 07-19 | 4759 | 102 | 181 | 1202 | 2471 | 703 | 88 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30.6 | 26.4 | 4.9 |
| 06-22 | 5181 | 104 | 185 | 1250 | 2655 | 827 | 133 | 23 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31.3 | 26.7 | 5 |
| 06-24 | 5246 | 104 | 185 | 1256 | 2676 | 848 | 142 | 27 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31.4 | 26.7 | 5 |
| 00-24 | 5341 | 105 | 186 | 1261 | 2701 | 879 | 159 | 37 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31.6 | 26.9 | 5.2 |
| am Peak | 10:00:00 | 08:00:00 | 08:00:00 | 10:00:00 | 11:00:00 | 09:00:00 | 06:00:00 | 06:00:00 | 03:00:00 | 00:00:00 | 05:00:00 | 05:00:00 |  |  | 03:00:00 | 03:00:00 |  |
| Peak Volume | 423 | 27 | 32 | 116 | 233 | 57 | 14 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 44.9 | 35.4 | 3433.8 |
| pm Peak | 16:00:00 | 15:00:00 | 16:00:00 | 16:00:00 | 15:00:00 | 13:00:00 | 20:00:00 | 20:00:00 | 22:00:00 | 20:00:00 | 23:00:00 |  |  |  | 23:00:00 | 23:00:00 |  |
| Peak Volume | 480 | 21 | 21 | 147 | 245 | 70 | 14 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 39.6 | 32.6 | 3327.9 |
| All Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Average Flow | <15.0mph | 15.0-20.0mph | 20.0-25.0mph | 25.0-30.0mph | 30.0-35.0mph | 35.0-40.0mph | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 40.0- } \\ & 45.0 \mathrm{mph} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45.0- \\ 50.0 \mathrm{mph} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50.0- \\ 55.0 \mathrm{mph} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55.0- \\ 60.0 \mathrm{mph} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 60.0- } \\ & 65.0 \mathrm{mph} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65.0- \\ 70.0 \mathrm{mph} \end{gathered}$ | >70.0mph | $\begin{aligned} & 85^{\text {th }} \\ & \% \text { ile } \end{aligned}$ | Mean Speed | Std Dev |
| 00:00:00 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40.2 | 34.8 | 7.6 |
| 01:00:00 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42.3 | 35.5 | 7.7 |

L шәұן ериәб $\forall$



| 22:00:00 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 32 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37.5 | 32.7 | 4.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23:00:00 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 16 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38.9 | 33.5 | 5.7 |
| 07-19 | 5245 | 21 | 72 | 415 | 2512 | 1996 | 212 | 14 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33.6 | 29.2 | 4 |
| 06-22 | 5698 | 21 | 73 | 428 | 2643 | 2226 | 274 | 25 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33.8 | 29.4 | 4.1 |
| 06-24 | 5798 | 21 | 73 | 430 | 2667 | 2274 | 293 | 31 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33.8 | 29.5 | 4.2 |
| 00-24 | 5872 | 21 | 74 | 432 | 2674 | 2301 | 318 | 40 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33.9 | 29.6 | 4.2 |
| am Peak | 11:00:00 | 07:00:00 | 08:00:00 | 08:00:00 | 11:00:00 | 11:00:00 | 09:00:00 | 06:00:00 | 05:00:00 | 00:00:00 | 01:00:00 | 01:00:00 |  | 00:00:00 | 02:00:00 | 03:00:00 |  |
| Peak Volume | 456 | 4 | 19 | 61 | 235 | 173 | 19 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 42.4 | 36.5 | 2048.8 |
| pm Peak | 16:00:00 | 15:00:00 | 15:00:00 | 15:00:00 | 17:00:00 | 16:00:00 | 18:00:00 | 19:00:00 | 23:00:00 | 20:00:00 | 19:00:00 | 20:00:00 |  |  | 23:00:00 | 23:00:00 |  |
| Peak Volume | 545 | 3 | 7 | 57 | 265 | 218 | 25 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 38.9 | 33.5 | 2529.1 |

Notes on data:
Averages are calculated as the simple average of values across the period
Holidays \& Events:
Start

21/01/2022 00:00
Type
25/01/2022 10:59 Roadworks
Lanes
Included
Description

Agenda Item 7

The Forum
Barnfield Road
Exeter, Devon
EX1 1QR


[^0]:    tv180722edh
    sc/cr/A3052 Newton Poppleford Pedestrian Crossings Options Assessment Report 01180722

[^1]:    This information is provided by Devon \& Cornwall Police. It includes collisions roredere the that occurred on a highway, involved one or more vehicles and human death or personal injury. It only includes collisions that were notified to the Police within 30 \&ays of occurrence. While every reasonable effort is made to ensure that the information provided is correct, no guarantees for the accuracy of information are made.

